

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,352	TEJIMA, YOSHIHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Rhonda Barton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called with suggestions to make the claims allowable over the prior art of record. The changes define the dams having constant inner and outer diameters, which differs from Pecht's jagged/varying diameters.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)